Case3:11-cv-02753-JSW Document64 Filed01/06/12 Page1 of 3

Theodore A. Griffinger, Jr. (SBN Tanya Herrera (SBN 177790) STEIN & LUBIN LLP Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery Street, 14th Flo San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-0550 Facsimile: (415) 981-4343 tgriffinger@steinlubin.com therrera@steinlubin.com	or				
Transamerica Pyramid 600 Montgomery Street, 14th Flo San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-0550 Facsimile: (415) 981-4343 tgriffinger@steinlubin.com therrera@steinlubin.com					
San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-0550 Facsimile: (415) 981-4343 tgriffinger@steinlubin.com therrera@steinlubin.com					
Facsimile: (415) 981-4343 tgriffinger@steinlubin.com therrera@steinlubin.com	ND MARVIN				
therrera@steinlubin.com	ND MARVIN				
6	AND MARVIN				
Attorneys for Defendants,					
JON SABES, STEVEN SABES AND MARVIN SIEGEL					
8 9 UN	PED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT				
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
12					
13 SUZANNE D. JACKSON,	Case No. 3:11-cv-02753-JSW				
Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING LEVE TO EXCEED DAGE LIMITS ESTABLISHED BY THE				
15 V.	PAGE LIMITS ESTABLISHED BY THE COURT'S CIVIL STANDING ORDERS				
16 WILLIAM FISCHER; JON SAE STEVEN SABES; DAVID GOL	OSTEEN;				
17 MARVIN SIEGEL; BRIAN CA LONNIE BROOKBINDER; CH	TAN				
18 NARSUDE; MANI KOOLASUI JOSHUA ROSEN; UPPER ORE	T, LLC;				
19 SPECIGEN, INC.; PEER DREA NOTEBOOKZ INC.; ILEONAR					
20 INC.; NEW MOON LLC; MON and SAZANI BEACH HOTEL,	TA LLC;				
21 Defendants.					
22					
Plaintiff Suzanne	Plaintiff Suzanne Jackson and Defendants Jon Sabes, Steven Sabes and Marvin				
24 Siegel (collectively "Defendants"	Siegel (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their counsel, and subject to the Court's				
approval, stipulate as follows:	approval, stipulate as follows:				
WHEREAS, Plai	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") on December 5,				
27 2011;					
WHEREAS, the	WHEREAS, the FAC asserts purported federal securities fraud and common law				
68530002/452509v1	1 Case No. 3:11-cv-02753-JSC OSED ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS				

1	claims related to Plaintiffs' investments;				
2	WHEREAS, the FAC alleges, inter alia, that Defendants engaged in a				
3	comprehensive scheme to defraud Plaintiff in violation of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934				
4	and the Securities Act of 1933 and by failing to provide and failing to disclose material facts,				
5	which purportedly constitute common law fraud;				
6	WHEREAS, the parties agree that the FAC's scope and complexity warrant a				
7	modest increase in the page limits imposed by this Court's Civil Standing Orders for Defendants'				
8	opening brief and Plaintiff's opposition brief to Defendants' motion to dismiss, but that the page				
9	limit for Defendants' reply brief shall remain 15 pages;				
10	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY				
11	AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, subject to the Court's approval, that:				
12	1. Defendants' memorandum of law in support of their motion to dismiss shall				
13	not exceed 25 pages; and				
14	2. Plaintiffs memorandum of law in opposition to Defendants' motion to				
15	dismiss shall not exceed 25 pages.				
16	Dated: January 6, 2011 KAUFMAN LLC				
17	By: /s/ Alan H. Kaufman				
18	Alan H. Kaufman				
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff Suzanne D. Jackson				
20	Dated: January 6, 2011 STEIN & LUBIN LLP				
21	Duy /s/Tanna Hawana				
22	By: /s/ Tanya Herrera Tanya Herrera				
23	Attorneys for Defendants Jon Sabes, Steven Sabes and Marvin Siegel				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	68530002/452509v1 2 Case No. 3:11-cv-02753-JSC				
	68530002/452509v1 2 Case No. 3:11-cv-02753-JSC STIPLII ATION AND TPROPOSEDLORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS				

Case3:11-cv-02753-JSW Document64 Filed01/06/12 Page3 of 3

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER				
2	Having reviewed the above stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the page				
3	limits for the motion to dismiss briefing in this matter shall be:				
4	Defendants' motion to dis	smiss:	25 pages		
5	Plaintiff's opposition to D	Defendants' motion to dismiss:	25 pages		
6					
7	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.				
8					
9		0,,			
10	Dated: January <u>11</u> , 2012		lito James While		
11		The Hong able Jeffrey United States District	y S. W hite Judge		
12		By: CHIEF JUDGE JAMI			
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	_68530002/452509v1	3	Case No. 3:11-cv-02753-JSC		